

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/706,190	•	11/12/2003	William R. Kennedy	KDY 9497	5982
321	7590	11/29/2005		EXAMINER	
SENNIGE	R POWE	RS	KYLE, MICHAEL J		
ONE METE		AN SQUARE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ST LOUIS,		02	3677	 	

DATE MAILED: 11/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/706,190	KENNEDY ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Michael J. Kyle	3677				
Period for A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any of	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport Reply ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set of the s	IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(: ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim (iill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
.1)⊠ 2a)⊠ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 Sec</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	 4) Claim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 19-27 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3,12-16 and 28-34 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 4-11,17 and 18 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Applicati	on Papers						
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)

Office Action Summary

Application/Control Number: 10/706,190 Page 2

Art Unit: 3677

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 28-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Landis (U.S. Patent No. 2,804,329). With respect to claims 28 and 32, Landis discloses a door system comprising first (not shown in drawings, but inherent) and second (26) door frame members and a door (1) hingedly mounted to the first frame member for swinging outwardly between open and closed positions. Landis also discloses a keeper (25) disposed on the second frame member (26), and a trigger actuated latch mechanism (6, 7, 24). The trigger actuated latch mechanism includes a detent (24) engageable with the keeper (25) and a trigger (6, 7) operably connected to the detent. The detent, in the latched position is biased toward an unlatched position (by spring 28). Actuation of the trigger (6, 7) causes the detent (24) to move from a latched position, where it is engaged with the keeper, to an unlatched position allowing the door (1) to be opened.
- 3. With respect to claim 29, Landis discloses the first frame member is in opposed to the second frame member.
- 4. With respect to claim 30, Landis discloses all elements noted above, and further discloses at least two triggers (6, 7) operably connected to the detent in a latched position. One trigger is located on an inward side of the door, and the other on an outward side. Actuation of either trigger will cause the detent to move from the latched position to the unlatched position.

Application/Control Number: 10/706,190 Page 3

Art Unit: 3677

5. With respect to claims 31 and 33, Landis discloses a sear (9) for holding the detent in the latched position. Actuation of the trigger causes release of the detent of the sear.

6. With respect to claim 34, Landis discloses all of the elements discussed above, and also discloses at least one handle (4 or 5) mounted to the door (1), independent of the trigger.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 1-3 and 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Clavin (U.S. Patent No. 5,638,709) in view of Kennedy et al ("Kennedy", U.S. Patent No. 4,082,331). Clavin discloses a door system comprising a door (50) that swings between open and closed positions, a keeper (edge of 51), and a trigger actuated latch mechanism (12, 13). The trigger actuated latch mechanism includes a detent (13, 37) engageable with the keeper (edge of 51) and a trigger (40) operably connected to the detent. Actuation of the trigger (40) causes the detent (13, 37) to move from a latched position to an unlatched position allowing the door (50) to be opened. The detent is biased to the unlatched position (by 34). Clavin fails to disclose the door system to be in combination with a mine stopping.
- 9. Kennedy teaches a door system with a latch (9) in combination with a mine stopping.

 Using the trigger latch of Clavin in combination with the mine stopping in Kennedy allows for easy actuation of the door in the mine stopping. It would have been obvious to one having

Art Unit: 3677

ordinary skill in the art to use a trigger latch in combination with a door system in a mine stopping to provide easy actuation of the latch.

- 10. With respect to claims 2 and 3, Clavin discloses the latch mechanism to include a sear (54) for holding the detent in the latched position. Actuation of the trigger releases the detent from the sear. The detent is spring biased to the unlatched position (by spring 34).
- With respect to claim 14, Clavin discloses a door system comprising a door (50), a keeper (edge of 51), and a latch mechanism (12, 13). The latch mechanism includes a detent (13, 37) engageable with the keeper for latching the door in a closed position when the detent is in a latched, cocked position (shown in figure 3). A biasing member (34) biases the detent to an unlatched, un-cocked position. A sear (54) holds the detent in the cocked position and a trigger (40) is operably connected to the sear for moving the sear away from the detent, causing the detent to move from the latched, cocked position (shown in figure 3) to the unlatched, un-cocked position. The mechanism is constructed so that upon actuation of the trigger (40), the detent remains in the unlatched, un-cocked position at least until the door is opened.
- 12. With respect to claim 15, Clavin discloses the sequence of actuating the trigger (40) to cause the detent (13, 37) to move to the unlatched, un-cocked position, releasing the trigger, and pulling the door to the open position.
- 13. With respect to claim 16, Clavin discloses closing the door (50) after the door has been pulled open to re-cock the mechanism so that the detent is re-cocked and prepared for actuation.
- 14. Claims 1, 12, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Landis in view of Kennedy. Landis discloses a door system comprising a door (1) that swings

Application/Control Number: 10/706,190

Art Unit: 3677

Page 5

between open and closed positions, a keeper (25), and a trigger actuated latch mechanism (6, 7,

24). The trigger actuated latch mechanism includes a detent (24) engageable with the keeper

(25) and a trigger (6, 7) operably connected to the detent. Actuation of the trigger (6, 7) causes

the detent (24) to move from a latched position to an unlatched position allowing the door (1) to

be opened. The detent is biased to the unlatched position (by 28). Landis fails to disclose the

door system to be in combination with a mine stopping.

15. Kennedy teaches a door system with a latch (9) in combination with a mine stopping.

Using the trigger latch of Landis in combination with the mine stopping in Kennedy allows for

easy actuation of the door in the mine stopping. It would have been obvious to one having

ordinary skill in the art to use a trigger latch in combination with a door system in a mine

stopping to provide easy actuation of the latch.

16. With respect to claims 12 and 13, Landis discloses the trigger (6 or 7) to be located on an

inward side of the door, and a second trigger (other of 6 or 7) is located on an outward side of the

door. The door includes an outward handle (4 or 5). The mechanism is constructed and

configured so that a user can actuate the second trigger (other of 6 or 7) and thereafter pull on the

handle without the detent moving back to the latched position.

Allowable Subject Matter

17. Claims 4-11, 17, and 18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim,

but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the

base claim and any intervening claims.

18. Claims 19-27 are allowed

Application/Control Number: 10/706,190 Page 6

Art Unit: 3677

Response to Arguments

19. Applicant's arguments with respect to all claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Examiner notes that new claims 28-34 are not considered to claim the mine stopping in combination with the door system. Specifically, the preambles of these claims require a door system "for closing a doorway in a mine stopping". The term "for" indicates an intended use of the door system. As long as the prior art is capable of being used for the claimed intended manner, then the prior art is considered to meet the limitations of the claim. The new grounds of rejection were necessitated by applicant's amendments to the originally filed claims, which now claim the door system in combination with a mine stopping.

Conclusion

- Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).
- A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Application/Control Number: 10/706,190

Art Unit: 3677

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

final action.

22. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Michael J. Kyle whose telephone number is 571-272-7057. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:30 am - 5:00 pm.

23. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Judy Swann can be reached on 571-272-7075. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

24. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

mk

PRIMARY FXAMINED

Page 7